

Oswaldkirk Parish Meeting

Minutes of Meeting held on Tuesday 1st May 2007

PRESENT: 20

APOLOGIES: John and Ursula Webb, Jacky Young, David and Flora Daly, Shirley Mclean, Eric Dunstone, Eric and Jean Beadle, Peter Stone and Philip and Wendy Entwistle.

MINUTES OF LAST MEETING:

It was highlighted that the minutes had been displayed on the Notice-board and therefore the Chairman asked whether there were any comments. There being no comments, the minutes were accepted – proposed by David Goodman and Rhona Sutherland.

MATTERS ARISING

Proposed development at Oak Lodge: The Secretary informed the Meeting that the application for a two-storey house at Oak Lodge discussed in January had been withdrawn by the applicant; however a further application had been made in April. The second application was broadly in line with that made in January and hence the same comments were made to Ryedale District Council.

Proposed Barn Conversion at Bridge Farm: The Chairman informed the Meeting that an application had been received from Mr and Mrs Derby to convert a barn in to accommodation. The Chairman and the Secretary had visited Mrs Derby and as the development would not adversely affect anyone, proposed that Ryedale District Council should accept the proposal.

Parish Calendar: It was noted that the idea of a Parish Calendar was proposed at the last Meeting and a few dates had been passed to the Secretary and these were on the Notice-board. The Meeting was encouraged to keep this up to date.

APPLICATION No 07/00248/FUL – ERECTION OF AN AGRICULTURAL BUILDING ON LAND ADJACENT AT OS FIELD 0078 MAIN STREET OSWALDKIRK.

The above planning application had been received by Ryedale District Council after discussion the Meeting voted to **reject** the application. The reasons for this were as follows: -

- The proposed barn replaces an existing one but the new location was considered to be more obtrusive than the current.
- The proposed location is 45m to the west of the existing barn and stables and it was felt that this contravened the concept of “grouping” agricultural buildings.

- The new location would require an extension to the access route/track across ancient meadowland
- At present no services are planned but the stated objective is for the barn to support sheep farming. Concern was raised that in future services would be required as these would be an essential for lambing etc. At this stage the potential routing of services has been ignored but it was felt it should have been part of the application if sheep farming is the objective.
- The plans as submitted are incorrect in that they show a wood where none exists and the location of the building is not correctly referenced on the drawing. This matter had been brought to the attention of the Landowner who had provided a revised plan to the Parish Meeting.
- The viability of the “bund”, which it is proposed would partly screen the barn, was called in to question. It was noted that the Landowner has made a number of references to a bund that has been formed and would partly screen the new barn in the proposed location. The Meeting raised concern over the materials contained in the bund. A number of Parishioners had been to look at the site and it was pointed out that the mound contains plastics, builder’s rubble and earth. It was questioned as to whether or not chemicals might have been included in the mound and whether or not the structure is simply an unlicensed tip. Concerns were raised over future environmental pollution arising from the bund.
- It was agreed that the Landowner’s proposed building would be environmentally sensitive and would be acceptable if built on the site of the existing barn. If this was to happen, it would also negate concerns over the spread of the buildings in the area, the need for an extended access to the barn and alleviate concerns over the routing of future services.

**APPLICATION No 07/00327/FUL ERECTION OF DETACHED DOUBLE GARAGE
(REVISED DETAILS TO APPROVAL 06/01239/FUL)**

The Meeting discussed the above application, noting that it was broadly in line with one they had discussed in January, except that it was slightly broader and taller (170 mm). The Meeting felt that the concerns raised at the last meeting still stood and it was agreed to send the same comments to Ryedale District Council.

AoB

Dogs: Two issues were raised regarding dogs – namely noise and fouling. The Chairman highlighted that he would monitor the issue regarding noise, however as regards fouling it was felt that this was a perennial problem and bins were not really a viable option.

Bonfires: It was noted that there had been many bonfires in the Village, these were considered to be both potentially dangerous and ant-social. It was agreed that the Parish Meeting would send a note to all householders regarding bonfires.

Guns and shooting: It was noted that people had been shooting at crows along The Terrace. The Chairman informed the Meeting that it was illegal to discharge a fire arm within 50 feet of a highway and it was also illegal to shoot crows without a license from Defra. It was agreed that the Chairman would contact the people concerned and highlight the concerns of the village.

White van: It was highlighted that the white van was still speeding through the village at 6.30am. The Secretary stated that he would try and progress this issue again.

Grass cutting: It was noted that the grass in the Village had been cut for the first time. It was generally felt that the work done was of a very low quality. It was agreed that the Secretary would contact North Yorkshire Highways.

Traffic Calming Measures and speed limits: The Chairman informed the meeting that the Secretary and he had met Mr Richard Marr from NYCC Highways department. Various issues had been aired and we had raised the possibility of taking the issue to the Local Government Ombudsman. The Chairman stated he would keep the Parish Meeting informed of progress.

The Meeting was closed and the AGM opened.